United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCY United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandría, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,641	08/06/2003	Massimo Ponzio	AGZP:111US	1640
24041 SIMDSONI & S	7590 04/17/2007 IMPSON, PLLC		EXAMINER	
5555 MAIN ST	REET		CHEN, JOSE V	
WILLIAMSVILLE, NY 14221-5406			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3637	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	04/17/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/604,641	PONZIO, MASSI	PONZIO, MASSIMO	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		José V. Chen	3637		
Ti Period for R	he MAILING DATE of this communication to the mail of t	on appears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress	
WHICHE - Extension after SIX (- If NO period - Failure to Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR FOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAIL! sof time may be available under the provisions of 37 to 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicated for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by received by the Office later than three months after the term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may tion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) Moy y statute, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ Re	sponsive to communication(s) filed on	04/02/07.	•		
	·	This action is non-final.			
3)☐ Sin	ce this application is in condition for a	llowance except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is	
clo	sed in accordance with the practice u	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition	of Claims	•			
4)⊠ Cla	nim(s) <u>1,17,19,21,22,23, 24,25,27,31 a</u>	and 33-38 is/are pending in the	e application.		
4a)	Of the above claim(s) is/are wi	ithdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🛛 Cla	im(s) <u>33</u> is/are allowed.				
6)⊠ Cla	im(s) <u>17, 19, 21, 22, 24, 25, 27, 31,, 3</u>	<u>34-38</u> is/are rejected.			
7)⊠ Cla	nim(s) <u>23</u> is/are objected to.				
8) Cla	nim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.			
Application	Papers	•			
9) 🗌 The	specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
10) <u></u> The	drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)[☐ accepted or b)☐ objected t	o by the Examiner.		
Арј	olicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
Re	placement drawing sheet(s) including the	correction is required if the drawir	ng(s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).	
11) The	oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form F	PTO-152.	
Priority und	er 35 U.S.C. § 119				
,	nowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
1.	Certified copies of the priority docu				
2.[- , , ,				
3.L	- '	·	en received in this Nationa	al Stage	
* Coo	application from the International E		at received		
See	the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copies no	JI IEUEIVEU.		
Attachment(s)	•				
	References Cited (PTO-892)		v Summary (PTO-413)		
	Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application		
	(s)/Mail Date	6) Other: _	• •		

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the coil winding turn (claim 31) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 3637

Specification

The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: "coil winding turn" (claim 31) has no definite antecedent basis in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. Claim 31 calls for a distal end of the shaft being aligned, orthogonal to an axis for the armature with a coil winding turn for the armature. However, it is unclear form the specification and drawings how this occurs. Clarification and/or correction are required.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Art Unit: 3637

Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim(s) 31 fail(s) to recite sufficient structural elements and interconnection of the elements to positively position and define "a coil winding turn for said armature" (claim 31) so that an integral structure able to function as claimed is recited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 17, 19, 20, 22, 24, 27, 32 as defined, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kirker. The patent to Kirker teaches structure as claimed including a pallet comprising a base and support means (36, 44), the support means capable of moving toward or away from each, such is capable of moving at "the moment of receiving and/or releasing" a portion of an armature.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3637

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1, 17, 19, 21, 22, 24, 25, 27, 31, 34-38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kirker in view of Santandrea et al. The patent to Kirker teaches structure substantially as claimed, including a pallet comprising a base and support means (36, 44), the support means capable of moving toward or away from each, such is capable of moving at "the moment of receiving and/or releasing" a portion of an armature, actuating means (fig. 2) the only difference being that the actuation means is not carried by the pallet. However, the patent to Santandrea teaches the use of providing an actuating means to provide adjustment of a support means to be old. It would have been obvious and well within the level of ordinary skill in the art to modify the structure of Kirker to include an actuating means carried by the structure, as taught by Santandrea et al, since such structure is conventional structures used for the same intended purpose, thereby providing structure as claimed. The use of different conventional alternative actuating means, such as pneumatic structures, geared structures, screw threaded structures in the same intended well known purpose are matters of desirability and choice and would have been and well within the level of

Art Unit: 3637

ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention, thereby providing structure as claimed. The method would have been obvious in view of the structures.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 23 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 33 is allowable over the prior art of record.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03/02/07have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Note the rejections above.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patent to Nishimura et al teaches structure similar to applicant's.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to José V. Chen whose telephone number is (571)272-6865. The examiner can normally be reached on m-f,m-th 5:30am-3:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on (571)272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3637

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) on 571-272-1000.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3637

Chen/jvc 04/16/07